Rectocutaneous fistula with imperforate anus in an adult
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ABSTRACT Adult presentation of a rectocutaneous fistula with imperforate anus is rare. We report the case of a 22-year-old man who presented with an anorectal malformation and a rectocutaneous fistula. The patient complained of faecal matter passing through the external orifis of a fistula located at the distal part of his scrotum. He was continent for solid faeces, but had leakage of flatus and faecal soiling. He had no other associated anomaly. The patient subsequently underwent a surgical procedure where anal transposition was done. Postoperative recovery was uneventful, and the patient was fully continent at control examination.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of anorectal malformations in newborns has been reported to be 1 in 4000 live births. Patients affected by this disorder often have accompanying pathologies in other systems, such as the urinary system. Although anorectal malformation is easily diagnosed at birth with inspection, some difficulties may arise due to possible differential diagnoses. Surgical treatment differs according to the different anatomic variations of the malformation and its association with a variety of special syndromes and diseases. Although antenatal suspicions of imperforate anus have been reported, it is usually diagnosed only after birth. It is thought to be the result of developmental defects during embryologic life. To treat this malformation, knowledge of the associated structures – the anal canal, pelvic floor muscles and sphincter – is important. The treatment strategy varies according to the level and type of malformation, as well as the gender of the patient. In this report, we present the case of a rectocutaneous fistula with imperforate anus in a male adult.

CASE REPORT

A 22-year-old man who presented with gas and liquid stool incontinence was admitted to our department. Physical examination revealed an imperforate anus and an external fistula orifice, with faecal soiling, located at the distal part of the scrotum (Fig. 1). According to the patient, stool had been passed via this fistula. Apart from this, the patient was asymptomatic. Ultrasoundography and other imaging modalities revealed no other system pathologies. Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging of the pelvic area showed a blind-ending rectal pouch and a rectocutaneous fistula running from the rectum to the scrotal skin at the level of the root of the penis. The external sphincter and levator ani muscles were intact.

The patient underwent surgery in the Lloyd-Davis position. A midline incision was made between the perineum and scrotum. The fistula tract was dissected circumferentially to reach the blind-ending rectal pouch. The perineal layers and connection to the rectum were fully exposed. The rectum was freed circumferentially and transposed (Fig. 2a). Muscular structures were repaired layer by layer (Fig. 2b), and the operation was terminated without a diverting stoma. The patient was discharged uneventfully on postoperative Day 17. Two months post surgery, he was fully continent and had no perianal problems (Fig. 3). Control evaluation, consisting of digital examination and anoscopy of the rectum, was normal. According to the Cleveland Clinic Incontinence Score (CCIS), the patient had good continence (score 6).

DISCUSSION

According to the Wingspread classification, imperforated ani can be divided into three types based on the distance between the blind-ended rectal pouch and anus. The line between the pubis...
and coccyx in an invertogram is called the pubococcygeal (PC) line. If the level of the blind end of the rectal pouch is above the PC line, it is classified as high-type imperforate anus; and if it is under this line, it is classified as low-type. All three types have perianal, rectovesical, rectourethral and rectovestibular forms, and can occur with or without fistulas. The level of the blind end is important, as it determines the choice of surgery and the patient's prognosis.

Posterior sagittal anorectoplasty (PSARP) with or without colostomy, described by Pena et al. in 1982, is the most common surgical procedure for the correction of an imperforate anus. PSARP can also be performed using an anterior approach. Geargason et al. described a laparoscopic approach in 2000. In our case, we chose to perform an anal transposition procedure using a perianal approach because of its tolerability and lower morbidity. There are a few case reports on imperforate anus in adults that have been treated using a method similar to ours (i.e. non-colostomy anal transposition), without complications.

Hundreds of symptoms and pathologies accompanying imperforate anus have been reported in the literature, and these have an effect on the treatment strategy as well as prognosis. In patients with a late prognosis, abdominal distension and lung problems have been reported in the literature. Rinata et al. showed that 42.6% of patients who received a late diagnosis encountered other system anomalies. In our case, the fistula tract from the rectum to the scrotum worked as an anal canal, and thus, our patient remained asymptomatic and had no other pathologies.

The need for a colostomy may arise if megarectum occurs. In our case, however, megarectum did not occur, as there was faecal discharge through the fistula. Therefore, we did not choose colostomy even though it is preferred in acute-type patients. As colostomy was not performed, no complications or incontinence occurred as a result of it. Eltayeb reported delayed presentation in 20 out of 104 patients with anorectal malformations, which could lead to megarectum or a dilated colon, and the eventual need for a colostomy.

While our patient had not encountered any problems until the age of 21, there have been reports of patients presenting with sepsis and bowel perforations four days after birth. Therefore, it is recommended that a newborn be evaluated for physical defects, with perineal examination being conducted within the first day after birth. Diagnosis 48 hours after birth has been accepted to be late diagnosis, and in 13% of the patients reported in the literature, diagnosis was made after 48 hours. In Turkey, perineal examinations are performed soon after birth and continued at mother-child health centres. Unfortunately, the exact number of imperforate ani detected at an advanced age in Turkey is not known.

In conclusion, patients presenting with adult-type imperforate anus requires extensive tests and examinations. Complete pathology testing must be conducted and colostomy should be avoided, if possible.
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